

High Risk Residential

High-risk residential programs are hardware-secure with perimeter fencing and youth placed in these programs are not allowed access to the community. Youth assessed and classified for this level of placement require close supervision in a secure, structured residential setting. Placement in programs at this level is prompted by a concern for public safety that outweighs placement in programs that are less secure and offer limited access to the community during the last sixty days of residential placement. Programs are designed to provide an array of integrated services, including, but not limited to:

Key Facts

- ✓ 1,684 youth completed high-risk residential programs.
- ✓ 89% were males and 11% were females.
- ✓ 88% were 15 to 18+ years of age.
- ✓ 41% of youth who completed a high-risk residential program in FY 2004-05 recidivated.

- Diagnostic evaluation, substance abuse and mental health counseling
- Sexual behavior dysfunction intervention
- Gang related behavior intervention
- Prevocational and vocational training
- Job training, placement, and skills training
- Educational services to include special education, pre-GED literacy, and self sufficiency planning and independent living skills
- Parenting skills and individual and family treatment
- Recreation and leisure time activities

Profile of Youth

The following tables provide demographic data taken from service history extracts and delinquency referral extracts from the Department's Juvenile Justice Information System (JJIS). Profile data are based on the number of youth who completed a high-risk residential program in FY 2004-05.

	Age at Admission					Completions
	0-7	8 - 11	12 - 14	15 - 17	18+	
Statewide	0	6	192	1,159	327	1,684
Percentage	0%	<1%	11%	69%	19%	100%

Table 8-20

	Gender/Race						Completions
	Male			Female			
	White	Black	Other	White	Black	Other	
Statewide	713	773	11	97	90	0	1,684
Percentage	42%	46%	1%	6%	5%	0%	100%

Table 8-21

Program Listing: High-Risk Residential

The following tables provide a listing of the high-risk residential programs that are currently in operation. The column on the far right denotes any additional specialized services the program is funded to provide. (Note, these data are based on the October 2006 JJIS capacity report. Not all programs referenced here will be reported in the program evaluation section below, as they may have had no releases for FY 2004-05.)

High-Risk Residential Programs for Males

Circuit	County	Program Name	Contract Provider	Total Beds	Specialized Beds & Funding Source
1	Walton	North American Family Institute SHOP	North American Family Institute	25	10 MHOS/15 RSAT Overlay
1	Walton	North American Family Institute Intensive Halfway House	North American Family Institute	15	None
1	Okaloosa	Okaloosa Intensive Halfway House	Youth Services International	35	10 MHOS
4	Duval	Tiger Serious Habitual Offender Program (SHOP)	White Foundation	24	13 MHOS/7 RSAT Overlay
5	Marion	Marion Juvenile Correctional Facility	Three Springs, Inc.	42	9 RSAT Overlay
5	Citrus	Cypress Creek ¹	G4S Youth Services	96	48 MHOS/20 RSAT
7	St. Johns	Hastings Youth Academy	G4S Youth Services	65	None
7	St. Johns	St. Johns Juvenile Correctional Facility	Three Springs, Inc.	50	50 Sex Offender
7	Volusia	Three Springs Sex Offender Program	Three Springs, Inc.	33	33 Sex Offender
9	Osceola	Kissimmee Juvenile Correctional Facility Sex Offender Program	Three Springs, Inc.	50	50 Sex Offender
9	Okeechobee	Okeechobee Juvenile Offender Correctional Center ¹	G4S Youth Services	64	64 Sex Offender
10	Polk	Polk Juvenile Correctional Facility	G4S Youth Services	240	240 MHOS
12	DeSoto	Desoto Dual Diagnosed Correctional Facility	State Operated	73	73 Dual Diagnosis GR
12	DeSoto	Desoto Juvenile Correctional Facility	State Operated	30	30 Intensive Mental Health
12	Manatee	Manatee Youth Academy	Manatee County Sheriff's Office	25	None
13	Hillsborough	Hillsborough Juvenile Intensive Residential Treatment	Sunshine Youth Services	25	24 MHOS
14	Jackson	Dozier Training School	State Operated	192	80 MHOS (SOP)
14	Jackson	Jackson Juvenile Offender Correctional Center	State Operated	96	32 MHOS (SOP)
15	Palm Beach	Palm Beach Juvenile Correctional Facility	Youth Services International	80	80 MHOS
15	Palm Beach	Sago Palm Youth Development Center	G4S Youth Services	264	56 RSAT Overlay/120 MHOS(SOP)
17	Broward	Broward Intensive Halfway House	State Operated	28	12 MHOS
19	Okeechobee	Eckerd Youth Development Center	Eckerd Youth Alternatives, Inc.	113	None
Total				1665	

¹Program score found in maximum risk chapter as program serves maximum risk beds as well.

Bed capacity is based on the October 2006 JJIS Capacity by 20 Judicial Circuits. Bed capacities are subject to change.

Manatee Adolescent Treatment Services Sex Offender Program converted to moderate risk in May 2006.

Table 8-22

High-Risk Residential Programs for Females

Circuit	County	Program Name	Contract Provider	Total Beds	Specialized Beds & Funding Source
2	Jefferson	Monticello New Life	North American Family Institute	30	20 MHOS/10 RSAT Overlay
9	Orange	Orange Halfway House	State Operated	24	24 MHOS
12	DeSoto	Desoto Dual Diagnosed Correctional Facility	State Operated	21	20 Dual Diagnosis GR
Total				75	

Bed capacity is based on the October 2006 JJIS Capacity. Bed capacities are subject to change.

Table 8-23

Program Evaluation

High-risk residential programs are evaluated through the Department's quality assurance process following a set of standards developed specifically for residential programs. Standards are based on the criteria set by national standards, state policy, and Florida Statutes. The Outcome Evaluation Performance and Program Accountability Measures Performance provide information on recidivism, cost, and program effectiveness.

Quality Assurance Performance

The following table ranks the high-risk residential programs based on their 2006 QA performance score. The Hillsborough Intensive Residential Treatment program, operated by Sunshine Youth Services, received the highest performance rating with an 87%.

**High-Risk Residential
Quality Assurance Performance 2006**

Rank	Circuit	County	Program Name	Program Type	QA Score
1	13	Hillsborough	Hillsborough Juvenile Intensive Residential Treatment	Specialized Beds	87%
2	14	Jackson	Jackson Juvenile Offender Correction Center	Specialized Beds	85%
3	7	Volusia	Three Springs	Specialized Beds	82%
4	12	DeSoto	DeSoto Dual Diagnosed Correctional Facility Females	Specialized Beds	78%
4	12	DeSoto	DeSoto Dual Diagnosed Correctional Facility Males	Specialized Beds	78%
4	12	DeSoto	DeSoto Juvenile Correctional Facility	Specialized Beds	78%
5	17	Broward	Broward Intensive Halfway House	Specialized Beds	75%
5	14	Jackson	Dozier Training School	Specialized Beds	75%
5	5	Marion	Marion Juvenile Correctional Facility	None	75%
6	1	Okaloosa	Okaloosa Intensive Halfway House	Specialized Beds	74%
7	10	Polk	Polk Juvenile Correctional Facility	Specialized Beds	73%
8	4	Duval	Tiger Serious Habitual Offender Program (SHOP)	Specialized Beds	71%
9	2	Jefferson	Monticello New Life	Specialized Beds	69%
10	9	Orange	Orange Halfway House	Specialized Beds	66%
11	9	Osceola	Kissimmee SOP Juvenile Correctional Facility	Specialized Beds	64%
12	7	St. Johns	St. Johns Juvenile Correctional Facility	Specialized Beds	63%
13	19	Okeechobee	Eckerd Youth Development Center	None	62%
Deemed Status	7	St. Johns	Hastings Youth Academy ¹	None	86%
	12	Manatee	Manatee Youth Academy ¹	None	87%
	1	Walton	North American Family Institute Intensive Halfway House ¹	None	84%
	1	Walton	North American Family Institute SHOP ¹	Specialized Beds	84%
	15	Palm Beach	Sago Palm Youth Development Center ¹	Specialized Beds	82%

¹ A one day review was conducted and the Deemed Status score was carried over for 2006. Deemed Status is eliminated effective 2007. Table 8-24

Outcome Evaluation Performance

Program profile summaries and outcomes, including total releases, the percentage of youth adjudicated for offenses committed during placement (ODP), number of completions and completion rates, demographic characteristics, average length of stay, post-commitment services and recidivism rates, are presented in the following table. Program profile summaries and outcomes are based on the number of youth who completed a high-risk residential program in FY 2004-05.

High-Risk Residential Program Profile Summaries and Outcomes Youth Completions FY 2004-05

Program Type	Program Name ¹²	Total Releases	% ODP ³	Completions							
				Total Completions		% Black	% Hispanic	Average Age at Admission	Average Prior Seriousness Index	Average Length of Stay	% Recidivism
				N ¹	%						
Females	Largo Academy For Girls (Global Youth Services)	63	3%	39	62%	44%	0%	17.1	26.2	49	36%
	Monticello New Life	35	20%	30	86%	43%	3%	16.7	17.4	359	30%
	Orange Intensive Halfway House	29	21%	24	83%	50%	4%	16.4	23.6	349	17%
	Vernon Place (Closed)	53	19%	43	81%	47%	5%	16.7	18.7	308	21%
	Subtotal	180	14%	136	76%	46%	3%	16.8	21.4	252	26%
Males	ARC Serious Habitual Offender Program (Closed)	37	5%	22	59%	55%	18%	17.3	31.6	303	50%
	Bartow Juvenile Correctional Facility (Closed) ¹	23	0%	11	48%	45%	9%	16.8	28.1	274	36%
	Bartow Serious Habitual Offender Program (Closed) ¹	31	10%	11	35%	64%	18%	16.1	34.5	351	45%
	Broward Intensive Halfway House	33	12%	28	85%	54%	14%	16.8	25.8	306	25%
	Cypress Creek JOCC (G4S)	70	1%	65	93%	48%	11%	17.1	34.1	288	51%
	Dozier Training School	108	5%	95	88%	62%	3%	16.8	28.4	373	52%
	Eckerd Youth Development Center	115	2%	104	90%	53%	13%	16.9	34.5	476	44%
	Everglades Youth Development Center (Premier) (Closed)	130	11%	119	92%	68%	12%	16.7	35.9	326	50%
	Hastings Youth Academy	75	21%	66	88%	48%	5%	16.7	29.2	317	35%
	Hillsborough Intensive Residential Treatment (YSI) (Provider changed) ¹	18	28%	14	78%	57%	7%	12.3	36.0	846	57%
	Jackson Juvenile Offender Correctional Center	61	11%	52	85%	56%	0%	16.9	34.7	341	52%
	Manatee Youth Academy	34	0%	32	94%	53%	25%	17.0	38.1	201	56%
	Marion Juvenile Correctional Facility (Global Redir.) (Provider changed)	61	13%	46	75%	48%	7%	16.7	25.7	304	59%
	NAFI Intensive Halfway House	25	16%	21	84%	43%	0%	16.6	34.2	235	52%
	NAFI Serious Habitual Offender Program	32	6%	26	81%	35%	8%	16.3	31.7	292	38%
	Okaloosa Intensive Halfway House	35	17%	34	97%	62%	0%	16.4	34.6	370	50%
	Polk Juvenile Correctional Facility (G4S)	170	6%	151	89%	64%	13%	17.7	38.9	103	46%
	Polk Juvenile Correctional Facility (Premier) (Provider changed)	246	3%	72	29%	60%	11%	16.9	34.8	326	57%
	Sago Palm Youth Development Center	104	8%	99	95%	66%	12%	16.8	34.3	327	49%
	Tiger SHOP (Correction Services of Florida)	23	4%	21	91%	71%	5%	16.6	23.3	231	52%
Subtotal	1,431	7%	1,089	76%	58%	10%	16.9	33.5	309	48%	

¹ Less than 15 youth completed the program and care should be taken in interpreting the data.

² Names of provider agencies are noted in parenthesis if a program has had multiple providers.

³ ODP is the percentage of releases adjudicated for an offense that occurred during placement.

continued

Program Profile Summaries and Outcomes (continued)

Program Type	Program Name ^{1,2}	Total Releases	% ODP ³	Completions				Average Age at Admission	Average Prior Seriousness Index	Average Length of Stay	% Recidivism
				Total Completions		% Black	% Hispanic				
				N ¹	%						
Mental Health Females	DeSoto Juvenile Correctional Facility-Dual Diagnosis ¹	14	14%	10	71%	50%	30%	17.0	33.1	394	20%
	Florida Institute For Girls (Lighthouse) (Closed)	61	10%	41	67%	56%	10%	16.7	34.2	158	27%
	Umatilla Girls Academy (Closed) ¹	1	0%	0	0%	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Subtotal	76	11%	51	67%	55%	14%	16.7	34.0	204	25%
Mental Health Males	DeSoto Juvenile Correctional Facility	24	13%	20	83%	25%	15%	16.7	27.6	429	20%
	DeSoto Juvenile Correctional Facility-Dual Diagnosis	71	3%	68	96%	28%	15%	17.0	33.5	432	43%
	Subtotal	95	5%	88	93%	27%	15%	16.9	32.2	431	38%
Substance Abuse Males	Sago Palm Pathfinders	58	2%	58	100%	36%	17%	17.4	31.2	307	47%
	Subtotal	58	2%	58	100%	36%	17%	17.4	31.2	307	47%
Sex Offender Males	Dozier Sex Offender Program	42	14%	32	76%	41%	0%	16.0	17.3	631	25%
	Jackson JOCC Sex Offender Program	16	6%	15	94%	40%	0%	16.1	21.7	644	33%
	Kissimmee Juvenile Correctional Facility	37	11%	34	92%	41%	3%	16.0	20.5	498	29%
	Manatee Adolescent Treatment (Premier)	21	5%	19	90%	26%	0%	15.3	21.2	489	16%
	Okeechobee JOCC Sex Offender Program (G4S)	31	6%	29	94%	31%	10%	16.6	20.9	474	24%
	Sago Palm	86	1%	83	97%	42%	13%	16.3	16.3	523	18%
	St. Johns Juvenile Correctional Facility (Three Springs)	35	9%	29	83%	41%	10%	14.4	14.4	616	17%
	Three Springs Sex Offender Program	22	5%	21	95%	14%	5%	16.0	14.0	540	19%
Subtotal	290	7%	262	90%	37%	7%	15.9	17.7	544	22%	
High-Risk Restrictiveness Programs		2,130	8%	1,684	79%	51%	9%	16.7	30.0	344	41%

¹ Less than 15 youth completed the program and care should be taken in interpreting the data.

² Names of provider agencies are noted in parenthesis if a program has had multiple providers.

³ ODP is the percentage of releases adjudicated for an offense that occurred during placement.

Table 8-25

Program Accountability Measures (PAM) Performance

The desired outcome of juvenile justice programs is to reduce future offending in a cost-effective manner. However, by legislative and program design, programs serve youth whose risk to re-offend varies widely. These factors affect the likelihood that youth will recidivate. A simple comparison of program recidivism rates does not take these factors into account. In fact, such a method would unfairly penalize programs that serve the most challenging youth. It is therefore important that outcome measurement take into consideration the risk factors that influence the likelihood of re-offending for the youth released from each program.

The PAM model takes into account the risk factors of the youth completing each program and estimates the probability of those youth recidivating. In doing so, it is possible to calculate how well a program is expected to perform based on the risk of re-offending of the youth completing the program, and to

compare this to how well those youth actually performed after release. This ensures that programs serving more difficult youth are not held to inequitable standards due to the higher risk of re-offending of the youth they serve, and provides a realistic measure of program effectiveness for those programs serving less challenging youth.

A PAM score is calculated for each program to provide a program rank based on its effectiveness and cost relative to other commitment programs. The PAM score is derived from a formula based on effectiveness as measured by reduced re-offending and cost per youth completing the program. The following table provides PAM scores by gender, restrictiveness level, and effectiveness based upon youth released in FY 2004-05, and followed for recidivism through June 30, 2006. All PAM tables and outputs can be found at: www.djj.state.fl.us/research/PAM/index.html.

**High-Risk Residential
PAM Scores by Gender and Effectiveness
Youth Released FY 2004-05**

Program Type/Program Name	N	Observed Recidivism Rate	Percent Difference *	Cost per Completion		Cost Category	PAM Score
Female High Risk Residential							
Orange Intensive Halfway House-Female	24	17%	-4%	\$47,511	Effective	High	77
Vernon Place-Female (Closed)	43	21%	0%	\$47,988	Effective	High	70
Monticello New Life-Female	30	30%	2%	\$50,999	Below Average	High	64
Largo Academy For Girls (Global Yth Services)	39	36%	6%	\$14,674	Least Effective	Low	62
Florida Institute For Girls (Lighthouse)-Mental Health (Closed)	41	27%	1%	\$104,445	Below Average	High	58
Male High Risk Residential							
Broward Intensive Halfway House	28	25%	-11%	\$53,473	Highly Effective	High	91
DeSoto Juvenile Correctional Facility-Mental Health	20	20%	-15%	\$137,190	Highly Effective	High	85
Hastings Youth Academy-High Risk	66	35%	-3%	\$31,626	Effective	Moderate	78
Sago Palm (SOP)	83	18%	0%	\$23,547	Average	Low	74
NAFI Serious Habitual Offender Program	26	38%	-1%	\$54,108	Effective	High	70
Sago Palm Youth Development Center	99	49%	1%	\$29,738	Below Average	Moderate	70
Polk Juvenile Correctional Facility (G4S)	151	46%	0%	\$49,846	Average	High	69
Cypress Creek JOCC-High Risk (G4S)	65	51%	2%	\$29,776	Below Average	Moderate	69
Everglades YDC (Premier) (Closed)	119	50%	1%	\$33,332	Below Average	Moderate	69
NAFI Intensive Halfway House	21	52%	3%	\$31,881	Below Average	Moderate	66
Okaloosa Intensive Halfway House	34	50%	2%	\$45,290	Below Average	High	66
Jackson Juvenile Offender Correctional Center	52	52%	3%	\$39,259	Below Average	Moderate	65
ARC Serious Habitual Offender Program (Closed)	22	50%	1%	\$55,046	Below Average	High	65
Eckerd Youth Development Center	104	44%	0%	\$79,606	Average	High	64
Dozier Training School	95	52%	2%	\$53,126	Below Average	High	64
St Johns Correctional Facility (SOP) (Three Springs)	29	17%	0%	\$86,567	Average	High	63
Three Springs Sex Offender Program	21	19%	0%	\$86,953	Average	High	63
Tiger SHOP (Correction Services of Florida)	21	52%	3%	\$48,288	Below Average	High	63
Sago Palm Pathfinders-Substance Abuse	58	47%	0%	\$89,355	Average	High	62
Manatee Youth Academy	32	56%	6%	\$28,989	Least Effective	Moderate	61
Manatee Adolescent Treatment (SOP) (Premier)	19	16%	-1%	\$111,666	Effective	High	60
Marion JCF-Global Redirections (Provider changed)	46	59%	7%	\$33,715	Least Effective	Moderate	58
Okeechobee JOCC Sex Offender Program (SOP) (G4S)	29	24%	2%	\$98,410	Below Average	High	57
DeSoto Juvenile Correctional Facility-Dual Diagnosis	68	43%	0%	\$122,086	Average	High	56
Kissimmee Juvenile Correctional Facility (SOP)	34	29%	6%	\$75,834	Least Effective	High	52
Dozier Sex Offender Program	32	25%	3%	\$151,533	Below Average	High	51
Polk JCF (Premier) (Provider changed)	72	57%	5%	\$135,087	Below Average	High	46
Jackson JOCC Sex Offender Program	15	33%	9%	\$125,629	Least Effective	High	37

Table 8-26

Program Expenditures

The following table provides total state versus federal expenditures for high-risk residential programs and include self-reported federal expenditures from private provider organizations. The source documents from which these amounts were derived are as follows: FLAIR Report at Level 2 for period July 1, 2005 - June 30, 2006; Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2005; and program cost affidavits submitted by private provider organizations. The first two sources are submitted to the Florida Department of Financial Services and used by the State Auditor General's Office for their audit of the Department's expenditures of federal funds.

High-Risk Residential State and Federal Expenditures FY 2005-06

CONTRACT PROVIDER PROGRAM NAME	Contract #	STATE EXPENDITURES			FEDERAL EXPENDITURES				TOTAL State + Federal Expenditures
		DJJ Contract Expenditures	Other State Expenditures	Total State Expenditures	Medicaid/ BHOS	National School Lunch	Other Federal Expenditures	Total Federal Expenditures	
Correction Services of Florida, Inc.									
Tiger Success Center (SHOP)	D7E01	1,035,292	0	1,035,292	0	0	0	0	1,035,292
Eckerd Youth Alternatives, Inc.									
Eckerd Youth Development Center	P7044	7,914,524	0	7,914,524	0	203,478	0	203,478	8,118,002
Vernon Place	N6D02	2,221,543	0	2,221,543	0	57,834	0	57,834	2,279,377
Florida Department of Juvenile Justice									
Broward Intensive Halfway House	NA	1,359,282	0	1,359,282	0	0	0	0	1,359,282
Desoto Dual Diagnosis Facility Male	NA	9,366,302	0	9,366,302	0	0	0	0	9,366,302
Desoto Dual Diagnosis Facility Female	NA	4,825,065	0	4,825,065	0	0	0	0	4,825,065
Desoto Juvenile Correctional Facility	NA	2,493,928	0	2,493,928	0	0	0	0	2,493,928
Dozier School for Boys ¹	NA	10,276,165	0	10,276,165	0	0	0	0	10,276,165
Jackson Juvenile Offender Correctional Center ²	NA	4,180,137	0	4,180,137	0	0	0	0	4,180,137
Orange Halfway House	NA	1,269,349	0	1,269,349	0	0	0	0	1,269,349
G4S									
Cypress Creek Correctional Facility ³	E5E01	2,003,466	0	2,003,466	0	0	0	0	2,003,466
Hastings Youth Academy High Risk	G8E01	2,128,413	0	2,128,413	0	0	0	0	2,128,413
Okeechobee JOC ³	T5F01	3,390,489	0	3,390,489	0	0	0	0	3,390,489
Polk Juvenile Correctional Facility	J8G01	9,733,729	0	9,733,729	0	0	0	0	9,733,729
Sago Palm Academy ⁴	O8H01	10,531,641	0	10,531,641	0	0	0	0	10,531,641
Manatee County Sheriff's Office									
Manatee Youth Academy	L5G02	897,809	0	897,809	0	24,751	11,606	36,357	934,166
North American Family Institute									
Monticello New Life	B8023/R2004	1,469,673	0	1,469,673	0	42,209	30,419	72,628	1,542,301
NAFI SHOP	A8004	1,363,269	0	1,363,269	0	40,138	33,083	73,221	1,436,490
NAFI Intensive Halfway House	A8004	641,975	0	641,975	0	24,083	19,850	43,933	685,908
Sunshine Youth Services									
Hillsborough Intensive Residential Trt Academy	M8G02	1,060,128	0	1,060,128	0	0	0	0	1,060,128
Three Springs, Inc.									
Kissimmee SOP Juvenile Correctional Facility	I3F10	2,729,536	0	2,729,536	0	0	0	0	2,729,536
Marion Juvenile Correctional Facility	E6E05	796,154	0	796,154	0	0	0	0	796,154
St. Johns Juvenile Correctional Facility	R4H01	2,527,838	0	2,527,838	0	0	0	0	2,527,838
Three Springs Sex Offender Program	M8G01	1,847,027	0	1,847,027	0	0	0	0	1,847,027
Youth Services International Southeastern, Inc.									
Okaloosa Youth Intensive Halfway House	P7024	1,434,084	0	1,434,084	0	0	0	0	1,434,084
Palm Beach Juvenile Correctional Facility	R2016	872,911	0	872,911	0	0	0	0	872,911
Programs that closed									
Global Redirection Services									
Marion Juvenile Correctional Facility	E6E05	809,389	did not report	809,389	did not report	did not report	did not report	0	809,389
Diversified Behavioral Health Solutions, Inc.									
Umatilla Juvenile Residential Facility	F7G03	4,875,692	0	4,875,692	0	0	0	0	4,875,692
Youth Services International Southeastern, Inc.									
Hillsborough Intensive Residential Treatment	M5G07	255,542	0	255,542	0	0	0	0	255,542
TOTAL		\$94,310,353	\$0	\$94,310,353	\$0	\$392,493	\$94,958	\$487,451	\$94,797,804

Sources: Florida Department of Juvenile Justice, Office of Management and Budget.

Provider self-reported funding excluding DJJ Contract expenditures.

Did not report indicates the provider did not respond to a certified letter requesting funding information.

¹ Dozier Training School for Boys received \$5,995,115 for high risk beds and \$4,281,054 for sex offender beds.

² Jackson Juvenile Offender Correction Center received \$2,786,479 for high risk beds and \$1,393,658 for sex offender beds.

³ Program received DJJ expenditures for maximum risk beds. The expenditure is included in the maximum risk chapter.

⁴ Sago Palm received \$4,491,991 for high risk beds, \$4,594,123.54 for sex offender beds, \$282,800 for pathfinders, \$616,276.41 for Mental Health Overlay Services, and \$546,450 for Trailblazers.

Table 8-27