



HIGH-RISK RESIDENTIAL PROGRAMS

High-risk residential programs are hardware-secure with perimeter fencing and youth placed in these programs are not allowed access to the community. Youth assessed and classified for this level of placement require close supervision in a secure, structured residential setting. Placement in programs at this level is prompted by a concern for public safety that outweighs placement in programs that are less secure. High-risk programs offer limited access to the community during the last sixty days of residential placement for youth assessed to be a low risk to offend while in the community. In addition to year-round education services focused toward the attainment of a high school diploma, GED or college credit and vocational programming, youth also receive an array of integrated services, including, but not limited to:



In FY 2007-08, 2,537 youth received services through placement in a high-risk residential programs and 1,569 youth completed services the previous year.

Of those youth who received services within FY 2007-08:

- 93% were male
- 59% were non-white
- 72% were 15 to 17 years of age



Profile of Youth

The following tables provide demographic data taken from the Department's Juvenile Justice Information System. Profile data are based on the number of youth, unduplicated, who were provided services offered by the Residential programs areas during FY 2007-08.

HIGH-RISK RESIDENTIAL PROGRAMS

High-Risk Residential Programs

	AGE					Youth Served
	0-7	8 - 11	12 - 14	15 - 17	18+	
Statewide	0	4	322	1,830	381	2,537
Percentage	0%	0%	13%	72%	15%	100%

	GENDER/RACE						Youth Served
	Male			Female			
	White	Black	Other	White	Black	Other	
Statewide	964	1,394	5	84	90	0	2,537
Percentage	38%	55%	0%	3%	4%	0%	100%

Program Listing by Judicial Circuit

The following tables provide a listing of the 22 high-risk residential programs that are currently in operation. The column on the far right denotes any additional specialized services the program is funded to provide. These data are based on the October 2008 Juvenile Justice Information System capacity report. Not all programs referenced here will be reported in the program evaluation section below, as they may have had no releases for FY 2006-07.

High-Risk Residential Listings by Judicial Circuit

Circuit	County	Program Name	Contract Provider	Total Beds	Specialized Beds & Funding Source
Female					
2	Jefferson	Monticello New Life	North American Family Institute	30	20 MHOS/ 10 SAT
12	DeSoto	Desoto Dual Diagnosed Correctional Facility	State Operated	24	24 Dual Diagnosis GR
12	DeSoto	Desoto High Risk Female Correctional Facility	State Operated	24	None
Subtotal				78	
Male					
1	Walton	North American Family Institute SHOP	North American Family Institute	25	10 MHOS/ 15 SAT
1	Walton	North American Family Institute Intensive Halfway House	North American Family Institute	15	None
4	Duval	Tiger Serious Habitual Offender Program (SHOP)	Correction Services of Florida, Inc.	24	17 MHOS/ 7 SAT
5	Marion	Marion Juvenile Correctional Facility	Three Springs, Inc.	42	31 MHOS/ 9 SAT
5	Citrus	Cypress Creek	G4S	48	38 MHOS/ 10 SAT
7	St. Johns	St. Johns Juvenile Correctional Facility	Three Springs, Inc.	50	50 Sex Offender
7	Volusia	Three Springs Sex Offender Program	Three Springs, Inc.	33	33 Sex Offender
9	Osceola	Kissimmee Juvenile Correctional Facility SOP	Three Springs, Inc.	50	50 Sex Offender
9	Orange	Orange Academy	G4S	48	48 MHOS
12	DeSoto	Desoto Dual Diagnosed Correctional Facility	State Operated	50	50 Dual Diagnosis GR
12	DeSoto	Desoto Juvenile Residential Facility	State Operated	30	30 Intensive MH
12	Manatee	Palmetto Youth Academy	G4S	48	48 MHOS
13	Hillsborough	Hillsborough Juvenile Intensive Residential Treatment	Sunshine Youth Services	25	24 MHOS
14	Jackson	Dozier Training School	State Operated	192	122 MHOS
14	Jackson	Jackson Juvenile Offender Correctional Center	State Operated	96	32 SA Overlay
15	Palm Beach	Palm Beach Juvenile Correctional Facility	Youth Services International, Inc.	98	98 MHOS
17	Broward	Broward Intensive Halfway House	State Operated	24	12 MHOS
19	Okeechobee	Okeechobee Juvenile Offender Correctional Center	G4S	64	64 Sex Offender
19	Okeechobee	Eckerd Youth Development Center	Eckerd Youth Alternatives, Inc.	143	None
Subtotal				1,105	
High-Risk Residential Programs				1,183	

Bed capacities based on the October 2008 JJIS bed capacity - all beds are subject to change.

¹ These programs have closed, changed providers, or had a structural programmatic change since the time period of the evaluation.

Program Evaluation

High-risk residential programs are evaluated through the Department's quality assurance process following a set of standards developed specifically for residential programs. Standards are based on the criteria set by national standards, state policy, and Florida Statutes. The Outcome Evaluation Performance and Program Accountability Measures Performance provide information on success, cost, and program effectiveness.

Quality Assurance Performance

The following table ranks the high-risk residential programs based on their 2008 QA performance score. The Hillsborough Intensive Residential Treatment Academy, operated by Sunshine Youth Services, received the highest performance rating with a 90%.

Residential QA Performance Rankings

Ranking	Circuit	County	Program Name	Specialized Beds ¹	QA Score
High-Risk Residential Programs					
1	13	Hillsborough	Hillsborough Intensive Residential Treatment Academy	Specialized Beds	90%
2	9	Orange	Orange Academy	Specialized Beds	85%
3	12	Desoto	Desoto Juvenile Residential Facility High Risk	Specialized Beds	81%
4	2	Jefferson	Monticello New Life	Specialized Beds	80%
5	12	Desoto	Desoto Dual Diagnosed Correctional Facility High Risk Female	Specialized Beds	79%
5	12	Desoto	Desoto High Risk Female Correctional Facility	Specialized Beds	79%
6	12	Desoto	Desoto Dual Diagnosed Correctional Facility High Risk Male	Specialized Beds	76%
6	15	Palm Beach	Palm Beach Juvenile Correctional Facility	Specialized Beds	76%
6	7	St. Johns	St. Johns Juvenile Correctional Facility	Specialized Beds	76%
7	19	Okeechobee	Okeechobee Juvenile Offender Correctional Center High Risk	Specialized Beds	75%
8	17	Broward	Broward Intensive Halfway House	Specialized Beds	74%
9	19	Okeechobee	Eckerd Youth Development Center	None	73%
9	7	Volusia	Three Springs Sex Offender Program	Specialized Beds	73%
10	14	Jackson	Dozier Training School	Specialized Beds	69%
10	9	Osceola	Kissimmee Juvenile Correctional Facility Sex Offender Program	Specialized Beds	69%
11	5	Marion	Marion County Juvenile Correctional Facility	Specialized Beds	67%
12	1	Walton	NAFI Intensive Halfway House	None	66%
13	1	Walton	NAFI SHOP	Specialized Beds	65%
14	4	Duval	Tiger Serious Habitual Offender Program (SHOP)	Specialized Beds	60%
-	14	Jackson	Jackson Juvenile Offender Correctional Center ³	Specialized Beds	-

¹ Programs with specialized beds receive funding from one or more of the following sources: RSAT, IMHS, MHOS, or BHOS (refer to the residential chapter for full descriptions).

² These programs have closed, changed providers, or had a structural programmatic change since the time period of the evaluation.

³ Program review was a part of Dozier Training School.

Cypress Creek Correctional Facility does have high risk slots, however the program was reviewed and reported by QA as maximum risk. Please refer to the Maximum Risk Ranking Table for this program's score/ranking (77)

HIGH-RISK RESIDENTIAL PROGRAMS

Outcome Evaluation Performance

Program profile summaries and outcomes, including total releases, the percentage of youth adjudicated for offenses committed during placement (ODP), number of completions and completion rates, demographic characteristics, average length of stay and success rates, are presented in the following table. Program profile summaries and outcomes are based on the number of youth who completed a high-risk residential program in FY 2006-07.

High-Risk Residential: Program Profile Summaries and Outcomes on Youth Completions FY 2006-07

Program Name	Total Releases	Percent ODP ¹	Completions					Average Age at Admission	Average Prior Seriousness Index	Average Length of Stay	Success Rate
			Total N ⁴	Percent	Percent Male	Percent Black	Percent Hispanic				
Female											
<i>Desoto - Female</i>	12	0%	12	100%	0%	50%	17%	16.9	20.8	113	67%
Monticello New Life	40	13%	39	98%	0%	38%	21%	16.5	21.3	267	67%
Orange Intensive Halfway House ²	38	24%	17	45%	0%	59%	0%	16.2	14.8	296	82%
Vernon Place-Female ²	27	37%	20	74%	0%	40%	10%	15.8	24.2	217	60%
Subtotal	117	21%	88	75%	0%	44%	14%	16.3	20.6	240	68%
Male											
Broward Intensive Halfway House	28	0%	27	96%	100%	78%	15%	16.5	25.2	323	59%
Cypress Creek Juvenile Offender Correctional Center - High	59	3%	52	88%	100%	52%	8%	17.0	38.9	282	40%
Dozier Training School ²	111	7%	93	84%	100%	65%	8%	16.8	36.6	406	49%
Eckerd Youth Development Center	133	3%	123	92%	100%	63%	11%	16.9	33.7	416	51%
Hastings Youth Academy - High ²	77	12%	63	82%	100%	60%	10%	16.8	26.5	339	46%
Hillsborough Intensive Residential Treatment	21	0%	21	100%	100%	76%	5%	13.3	26.5	405	62%
Jackson Juvenile Offender Correctional Center ²	70	3%	41	59%	100%	54%	2%	16.5	28.5	379	61%
Manatee Youth Academy ²	31	0%	18	58%	100%	56%	28%	16.7	32.3	336	56%
Marion Juvenile Correctional Facility	55	24%	47	85%	100%	47%	9%	16.5	27.0	264	49%
NAFI Intensive Halfway House	25	12%	24	96%	100%	33%	0%	16.4	29.6	220	42%
NAFI Serious Habitual Offender Program	33	3%	32	97%	100%	38%	0%	16.8	46.6	297	47%
Okaloosa Intensive Halfway House ²	44	25%	36	82%	100%	61%	6%	16.5	31.5	307	42%
Palm Beach Juvenile Correctional Facility	37	3%	28	76%	100%	68%	7%	17.4	37.6	182	57%
Polk Juvenile Correctional Facility ²	233	6%	220	94%	100%	73%	10%	16.8	35.1	319	37%
Sago Palm - Youth Development Center ²	54	2%	48	89%	100%	81%	10%	16.8	34.8	309	46%
Tiger Serious Habitual Offender Program	45	27%	30	67%	100%	80%	0%	16.8	18.5	259	57%
Subtotal	1,056	8%	903	86%	100%	64%	9%	16.7	33.0	332	47%
Female Mental Health											
DeSoto Dual Diagnosis Correctional Facility - Female	24	4%	23	96%	0%	26%	17%	16.8	20.3	287	61%
Umatilla Girls Academy ²	32	0%	26	81%	0%	42%	4%	17.0	20.3	221	81%
Subtotal	56	2%	49	88%	0%	35%	10%	16.9	20.3	252	71%
Male Mental Health											
DeSoto Dual Diagnosis Correctional Facility - Male	71	1%	70	99%	100%	23%	29%	16.8	30.6	385	66%
DeSoto Juvenile Correctional Facility - Mental Health	36	0%	36	100%	100%	47%	11%	15.9	34.3	410	42%
Sago Palm - Mental Health Overlay Services ²	59	5%	51	86%	100%	65%	6%	16.8	30.7	267	47%
Subtotal	166	2%	157	95%	100%	42%	17%	16.6	31.5	352	54%

1 ODP is the percent of releases adjudicated for an offense during supervision.

2 These programs have closed, changed providers, or had a structural programmatic change since the time period of the evaluation.

3 These programs also include releases to Forestry Youth Academy, Graceville Vocational and Independent Living Programs. These releases are counted as completions in the associated table. Recidivism for those youth released to Forestry Youth Academy, Graceville Vocational and Independent Living Programs is not however included in this table

4 Italics indicate the program completed less than 15 youth and care should be taken in interpreting these data.

High-Risk Residential: Program Profile Summaries and Outcomes on Youth Completions FY 2006-07 (continued)

Program Name	Total Releases	Percent ODP ¹	Completions								
			Total		Percent Male	Percent Black	Percent Hispanic	Average Age at Admission	Average Prior Seriousness Index	Average Length of Stay	Success Rate
			N ⁴	Percent							
Sex Offender											
Dozier Sex Offender Program ²	55	4%	50	91%	100%	38%	4%	16.2	17.6	703	80%
Jackson Juvenile Offender Correctional Center - SOP ²	23	0%	23	100%	100%	48%	9%	16.1	21.3	633	65%
Kissimmee Juvenile Correctional Facility	42	26%	37	88%	100%	46%	5%	15.8	15.2	493	78%
Okeechobee Juvenile Offender Correctional Center SOP	36	0%	35	97%	100%	26%	14%	16.1	14.6	536	89%
Sago Palm - Sex Offender Program ²	56	2%	54	96%	100%	44%	11%	16.2	14.8	504	72%
St Johns Correctional Facility	34	15%	28	82%	100%	29%	4%	13.6	11.8	472	82%
Three Springs Sex Offender Program	35	9%	34	97%	100%	41%	12%	15.9	16.9	398	74%
Subtotal	281	8%	261	93%	100%	39%	8%	15.8	15.9	539	77%
Male Substance Abuse											
Sago Palm - Pathfinders ²	63	0%	60	95%	100%	50%	20%	17.3	31.2	279	55%
Sago Palm - Trailblazers ²	52	0%	51	98%	100%	41%	24%	17.1	31.5	289	55%
Subtotal	115	0%	111	97%	100%	46%	22%	17.2	31.3	284	55%
High-Risk Residential Programs	1,791	7%	1,569	88%	91%	54%	11%	16.5	28.8	357	55%

¹ ODP is the percent of releases adjudicated for an offense during supervision.

² These programs have closed, changed providers, or had a structural programmatic change since the time period of the evaluation.

³ These programs also include releases to Forestry Youth Academy, Graceville Vocational and Independent Living Programs. These releases are counted as completions in the associated table. Recidivism for those youth released to Forestry Youth Academy, Graceville Vocational and Independent Living Programs is not however included in this table

⁴ Italics indicate the program completed less than 15 youth and care should be taken in interpreting these data.

Program Accountability Measures (PAM) Performance

The desired outcome of juvenile justice programs is to reduce future offending in a cost-effective manner. However, by legislative mandate and program design, programs serve youth whose risk to re-offend varies widely. These factors affect the likelihood that youth will recidivate. A simple comparison of program success rates does not take these factors into account. In fact, such a method would unfairly penalize programs that serve the most challenging youth. It is therefore important that outcome measurement take into consideration the risk factors that influence the likelihood of re-offending for the youth released from each program.

The Program Accountability Measures model takes into account the risk factors of the youth completing each program and estimates the probability of those youth recidivating. In doing so, it is possible to calculate how well a program is expected to perform based on the risk of re-offending of the youth completing the program, and to compare this to how well those youth actually performed after release. This ensures that programs serving more difficult youth are not held to inequitable standards due to the higher risk of re-offending of the youth they serve, and provides a realistic measure of program effectiveness for those programs serving less challenging youth.

A Program Accountability Measures score is calculated for each program to provide a program rank based on its effectiveness and cost relative to other commitment programs. The Program Accountability Measures score is derived from a formula based on effectiveness as measured by reduced re-offending and cost per youth completing the program. The following table provides Program Accountability Measures scores by gender, restrictiveness level, and effectiveness based upon youth released in FY 2006-07, and followed for success through June 30, 2007. All Program Accountability Measures tables and outputs can be found at: www.djj.state.fl.us/

HIGH-RISK RESIDENTIAL PROGRAMS

High Risk Residential: Program Accountability Measures (PAM) Performance Youth Completions FY 2006-07

Program Type/Program Name	N	Observed Success Rate	Percent Difference *	Expected Success Range	Cost per Completion	Effectiveness Category	Cost Category	PAM Score
Female High Risk Residential								
Umatilla Girls Academy **	26	81%	4.9	72.3% - 75.9%	\$39,258	Effective	Moderate	80
Orange Intensive Halfway House **	17	82%	6.2	71.4% - 76.2%	\$72,256	Highly Effective	High	77
Monticello New Life	39	67%	-3.4	70.1% - 73.1%	\$45,672	Below Average	Moderate	63
Vernon Place-Female **	20	60%	-9.0	69.0% - 73.5%	\$18,218	Least Effective	Low	57
DeSoto Dual Diagnosis Correctional Facility - Female	23	61%	-8.3	69.1% - 73.3%	\$109,574	Least Effective	High	42
Male High Risk Residential								
Jackson Juvenile Offender Correctional Center **	41	61%	4.2	53.4% - 56.8%	\$48,526	Effective	High	77
Hillsborough Intensive Residential Treatment	21	62%	4.9	51.7% - 57.0%	\$65,577	Effective	High	76
Okeechobee Juvenile Offender Correctional Center SOP	35	89%	6.5	79.6% - 82.0%	\$103,228	Highly Effective	High	72
Tiger Serious Habitual Offender Program	30	57%	1.0	51.7% - 55.7%	\$39,054	Effective	Moderate	72
Broward Intensive Halfway House	27	59%	2.9	52.0% - 56.4%	\$60,927	Effective	High	72
Sago Palm - Trailblazers **	51	55%	0.0	52.1% - 55.1%	\$31,215	Average	Moderate	72
DeSoto Dual Diagnosis Correctional Facility - Male	70	66%	6.7	56.7% - 59.0%	\$110,713	Highly Effective	High	71
Sago Palm - Pathfinders **	60	55%	1.0	52.4% - 54.0%	\$41,268	Effective	Moderate	70
Marion Juvenile Correctional Facility	47	49%	-1.4	50.3% - 53.4%	\$37,329	Below Average	Moderate	69
Manatee Youth Academy **	18	56%	0.0	50.4% - 56.3%	\$54,004	Average	High	68
NAFI Serious Habitual Offender Program	32	47%	-2.8	49.7% - 53.7%	\$38,702	Below Average	Moderate	65
Hastings Youth Academy - High **	63	46%	-3.3	49.3% - 51.8%	\$37,470	Below Average	Moderate	65
Sago Palm - Mental Health Overlay Services **	51	47%	-2.7	49.7% - 52.7%	\$44,447	Below Average	Moderate	65
Eckerd Youth Development Center	123	51%	0.0	51.4% - 52.9%	\$72,515	Average	High	64
Kissimmee Juvenile Correctional Facility	37	78%	0.0	77.9% - 80.4%	\$78,622	Average	High	64
Sago Palm - Youth Development Center **	48	46%	-3.6	49.4% - 52.5%	\$38,383	Below Average	Moderate	64
Dozier Training School **	93	49%	-1.0	50.5% - 52.4%	\$72,716	Below Average	High	63
St Johns Correctional Facility	28	82%	1.1	78.2% - 81.1%	\$97,949	Effective	High	62
Dozier Sex Offender Program **	50	80%	0.0	78.5% - 80.5%	\$93,887	Average	High	61
Three Springs Sex Offender Program	34	74%	-3.5	77.0% - 79.7%	\$57,844	Below Average	High	61
Polk Juvenile Correctional Facility **	220	37%	-4.8	42.1% - 43.0%	\$49,082	Below Average	High	60
Sago Palm - Sex Offender Program **	54	72%	-4.5	76.7% - 78.8%	\$62,939	Below Average	High	58
Cypress Creek Juvenile Offender Correctional Center - High	52	40%	-7.2	47.6% - 50.5%	\$33,935	Least Effective	Moderate	58
Palm Beach Juvenile Correctional Facility	28	57%	1.1	51.7% - 56.0%	\$137,597	Effective	High	56
NAFI Intensive Halfway House	24	42%	-7.0	48.6% - 53.5%	\$43,093	Least Effective	Moderate	56
Okaloosa Intensive Halfway House **	36	42%	-6.8	48.4% - 52.2%	\$49,852	Least Effective	High	56
DeSoto Juvenile Correctional Facility - Mental Health	36	42%	-6.8	48.4% - 52.2%	\$84,582	Least Effective	High	49
Jackson Juvenile Offender Correctional Center - SOP **	23	65%	-10.6	75.8% - 79.3%	\$64,633	Least Effective	High	45

* Percentages have been rounded to the nearest whole percent

** These programs have closed, changed providers, or had a structural programmatic change since the time period of the evaluation.

HIGH-RISK RESIDENTIAL PROGRAMS

Program Expenditures

The following table provides total state versus federal expenditures for high-risk residential programs and includes self-reported federal expenditures from private provider organizations. The source documents from which these amounts were derived are as follows: Florida Accounting Information Resource Report at Level 2 for period July 1, 2006 - June 30, 2007; Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2006; and program cost affidavits submitted by private provider organizations. The first two sources are submitted to the Florida Department of Financial Services and used by the State Auditor General's Office for their audit of the Department's expenditures of federal funds.

State and Federal Expenditures FY 2007-08

CONTRACT PROVIDER PROGRAM NAME	Contract #	STATE EXPENDITURES			FEDERAL EXPENDITURES			TOTAL State + Federal Expenditures	
		DJJ Contract Expenditures	Other State Expenditures	Total State Expenditures	Medicaid/ BHOS	National School Lunch	Other Federal Expenditures		Total Federal Expenditures
Correction Services of Florida, Inc./Henry & Rilla									
Tiger Success Center (SHOP)	D7E01	1,060,843	0	1,060,843	0	0	0	1,060,843	
Eckerd Youth Alternatives, Inc.									
Eckerd Youth Development Center	P7044	10,561,494	0	10,561,494	0	234,631	234,631	10,796,125	
Florida Department of Juvenile Justice									
Broward Intensive Halfway House	NA	1,791,854	0	1,791,854	0	0	0	1,791,854	
Desoto Dual Diagnosis Facility Male	NA	2,673,185	0	2,673,185	0	0	0	2,673,185	
Desoto Dual Diagnosis Facility Female ¹	NA								
Desoto Juvenile Correctional Facility Male	NA	17,057,030	0	17,057,030	0	0	0	17,057,030	
Desoto High Risk Female Correctional Facility ²	NA								
Dozier School for Boys ³	NA	9,934,466	0	9,934,466	0	0	0	9,934,466	
Jackson Juvenile Offender Correctional Center ⁴	NA	4,743,378	0	4,743,378	0	0	0	4,743,378	
G4S									
Cypress Creek Correctional Facility ⁵	E5E01	5,123,991	705,091	5,829,082	0	0	0	5,829,082	
Hastings Youth Academy High Risk ⁶	G8E01	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Okeechobee JOCC ⁷	T5F01	3,623,384	900,139	4,523,523	0	0	0	4,523,523	
North American Family Institute									
Monticello New Life	R2004	1,799,575	0	1,799,575	0	51,030	17,679	1,868,284	
NAFI SHOP	A8004	1,450,033	0	1,450,033	0	25,597	24,184	1,499,814	
NAFI Intensive Halfway House	A8004	694,352	0	694,352	0	42,662	40,307	777,321	
Sunshine Youth Services									
Hillsborough Intensive Residential Trt Academy	M8G02	1,344,099	0	1,344,099	0	0	0	1,344,099	
Three Springs, Inc.									
Kissimmee SOP Juvenile Correctional Facility	I3F10/R2040	2,902,616	0	2,902,616	0	0	0	2,902,616	
Marion Juvenile Correctional Facility	E6E05	1,755,619	0	1,755,619	0	0	0	1,755,619	
St. Johns Juvenile Correctional Facility	R4H01	2,702,968	0	2,702,968	0	0	0	2,702,968	
Three Springs Sex Offender Program	M8G01	2,197,153	0	2,197,153	0	0	0	2,197,153	
Youth Services International Southeastern, Inc.									
Palm Beach Juvenile Correctional Facility	R2016	4,593,835	0	4,593,835	0	0	0	4,593,835	
Programs that closed									
Youth Services International Southeastern, Inc.									
Okaloosa Youth Intensive HWH ⁸	P7024	1,548,673	0	1,548,673	381,056	0	381,056	1,929,730	
G4S									
Polk Juvenile Correctional Facility ⁹	J8G01	7,673,979	0	7,673,979	0	0	0	7,673,979	
Sago Palm Academy ¹⁰	O8H01	10,801,672	0	10,801,672	0	0	0	10,801,672	
TOTAL		\$96,034,201	\$1,605,230	\$97,639,431	\$381,056	\$353,920	\$82,170	\$817,146	\$96,259,424

Sources: Florida Department of Juvenile Justice, Office of Administration, Finance & Accounting
Provider self-reported funding excluding DJJ Contract expenditures.

¹ See Desoto Dual Diagnosis Facility Male above

² See Desoto Juvenile Correctional Facility Male above

³ Dozier Training School for Boys received \$ for high risk beds and \$ for sex offender beds.

⁴ Jackson Juvenile Offender Correction Center received \$ for high risk beds and \$ for sex offender beds.

⁵ Program received DJJ expenditures for high risk and max risk beds.

⁶ See Hastings Youth Academy contract G8E01 in mod risk chapter

⁷ Okeechobee JOCC received DJJ expenditures for high and maximum risk beds. Expenditures are split between max risk and high risk chapter

⁸ Okaloosa Youth Intensive HWH closed 8/26/08

⁹ Polk Juvenile Correctional Facility closed 1/23/08

¹⁰ Sago Palm received \$ for high risk beds, \$ for sex offender beds, \$ for pathfinders, \$ for Mental Health Overlay Services, and \$ for Trailblazers and closed 6/19/08.